Dear Sir,

I should like to comment on the National Highways' submission on the proposed A303 changes around Stonehenge.

I believe the submissions do not explore the issues adequately. The scheme has already been described by there Secretary of State as 'significantly adverse': and let us remember this is a unique archaeological site of huge international importance: I do not believe it will be looked on favourably by the world and the site is in danger of downgrading as a World Heritage Site.

I believe Highways has not updated the expense of the scheme, not the carbon costs, nor fully assessed alternative schemes, for example a southern route, nor a deeper tunnel.

Moreover since the examination closed the importance of acting on climate change and on nature recovery have increased and will continue to do so: this expensive, damaging and carbon-expensive scheme is the opposite of what is needed.

I live not far away and regularly use the A303.

This scheme is not the answer.

Yours sincerely (Dr) Nicola Hall

